

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 DAVID CARMONA TOVAR,

11 Petitioner,

12 v.

13 FIELD OFFICE DIRECTOR, LOS
14 ANGELES FIELD OFFICE, UNITED
15 STATES IMMIGRATION AND
CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT, *et al.*,

16 Respondents.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 5:24-cv-01535-FLA (SSC)

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS,
CONCLUSIONS, AND
RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED
STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
AND DENYING MOTION TO
DISMISS AS MOOT [DKT. 14]**


1 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the court has reviewed the petition, all of the
2 records herein, and the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate
3 Judge (“Report”) to which no objections were filed. Dkt. 22.

4 The Report explains that Petitioner has not communicated with the court
5 since August 2024 and did not respond to the court’s order to show cause why the
6 action should not be dismissed, and that mail sent by the court to Petitioner,
7 including the Report, has been returned as undeliverable. Dkt. 22 at 1–3; Dkt. 24.
8 Accordingly, the Report recommends dismissal of the action for Petitioner’s failure
9 to prosecute and comply with court orders and the Local Rules, which require
10 Petitioner to notify the court of a change in address. *Id.* at 1, 3–6.

11 The court ACCEPTS and ADOPTS the findings and conclusions of the
12 Magistrate Judge in the Report. Judgment shall be ENTERED dismissing the
13 action without prejudice for Petitioner’s failure to prosecute and comply with court
14 orders and the Local Rules. Respondent’s motion to dismiss, Dkt. 14, is DENIED
15 as moot.

16
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18
19 Dated: February 18, 2025

20
21 
22 FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA
23 United States District Judge
24
25
26
27
28